Reconciled Score \_\_\_\_\_

(Max 100)

PY2024 Alabama CDBG Program Rating Form

**Community Enhancement**

1. Applicant: Project No.:
2. Proposed Activity:
3. Total Project Cost: $

CDBG: $

Local Match: $ 🞎 Cash 🞎 In-Kind \_\_\_\_\_\_%

Other State/Federal: $ (ARC, DRA, etc.)

1. Grant Ceiling Waiver: 🞎 N/A 🞎 Requested 🞎 Approved
2. Meets Eligibility Threshold 🞎 Yes 🞎 No

If no, reason:

1. Meets National Objective 🞎 Yes 🞎 No

🞎 LMI 🞎 S/B 🞎 UN

1. Eligible Activity {Section 105(a)} 🞎 Yes 🞎 No
2. Required Local Match 🞎 Yes 🞎 No

🞎 N/A (Population is 1,000 or less)

1. Total Beneficiaries:

LMI:

%:

1. Project Evaluation Strong Somewhat None

(6-10) (1-5) (0)

* 1. Assessment of Need \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
  2. Project Development \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
  3. Importance of Activity \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
  4. Project Impact \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
  5. Clarity of Benefit \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
  6. LMI Benefit (Circle One) 10 (86-100%) 5 (66-85%) 2 (51-65%)

Demolition LMI Activity Impact \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

* 1. Project’s Financial Feasibility \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_
  2. Cost Reasonableness \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_
  3. Operations Capacity \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_
  4. Maintenance Capacity \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Total** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

* 1. Bonus Points. 🞎 5 Points 🞎 0 Points

(Unsuccessful Applicant for 3 Consecutive Years)

**Total Score** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ **(Not to Exceed 100)**

Site Visit Recommended 🞎 Yes 🞎 No

Comments:

Rater's Score:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 2nd Rater's Score :\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Reconciled Score \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Rater’s Signature Date