

Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs On-Site Environmental Review Monitoring Checklist 24 CFR Part 58

Grantee Name: _____
 Project No: _____
 Preparer: _____
 Date Prepared: _____
 Follow-up Review Indicated: _____

Environmental Review Summary of Dates

If the Grantee mailed letters to organizations seeking concurrence on the project, record below the dates those letters were sent and the dates that any concurrence was received:

	Date Sent	Date Received
State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) (Min 30 Days):	_____	_____
Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO) (Min 30 Days):	_____	_____
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS):	_____	_____
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACE):	_____	_____
(Other) _____:	_____	_____
(Other) _____:	_____	_____

-Did any of the concurrences require any mitigation measures? Yes No

If "Yes", list the date that the mitigation measures were completed or cleared: _____

-Were any comments/objections received by the Grantee from the public? Yes No

If "Yes", were they addressed by the Grantee in writing? Yes No N/A

-List the date that the Level of Review form (ENV-FOE, ENV-CENST, ENV-CEST, ENV-HUDEA) was signed by the Certifying Officer: _____ (circle one)

-List the date that the NOI/RROF **OR** the FONSI and NOI/RROF (combined notice) was published/posted: _____

-List the date that the "Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions" was issued: _____

-List the date that construction began on the project: _____

Part A: Level of Review Determination (Choose only ONE of the 5 Levels of Review. Cross out the Remaining Levels)

Level of Review (ENV-FOE)		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
1	<p>Exempt Activities §58.34(a) (e.g., administrative activities, environmental studies, planning, engineering and design costs, temporary assistance for imminent threats, etc.)</p> <p>a) Determination documented in writing (a completed ADECA Finding of Exemption Form, ENV-FOE)</p> <p>b) Finding of Exemption Form signed (certifying officer) and dated (Form ENV-FOE)</p> <p>c) A completed 58.6 “Other Requirements” form (ENV-ORC)</p> <p>d) Does the ERR reviewed contain the ADECA’s “Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions” Form Equivalent of HUD Form 7015.16? [§58.22] (ENV-NRGC)</p> <p>Note: No public notification required (RROF)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>NOTE: When this Level of Review is Applicable, Parts B & D must be completed</p>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Level of Review (ENV-CEST)		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
2A	<p>Categorically Excluded Activities §58.35(a) subject to §58.5 (e.g., rehabilitation of water and sewer lines, streets, drainage structures, senior centers, housing, etc.)</p> <p>a) Determination documented in writing (Completed ADECA Finding of Categorically Excluded Form Subject to 58.5, ENV-CEST)</p> <p>b) Completed ADECA Form ENV-CEST signed (certifying officer) and dated (Form ENV-CEST, page 3)</p> <p>c) Was the ADECA Form ENV-CEST signed and dated after all concurrences had been received?</p> <p>d) A completed 58.6 “Other Requirements” form (ENV-ORC)</p> <p>e) Does the ERR contain a copy of the published/posted “Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds” (NOI/RROF) [§58.38]</p> <p>l) Was the NOI/RROF published/posted after form ENV-CEST was signed by the certifying officer?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Continued on next page...</p>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	<p>II) Was the NOI/RROF disseminated to the following:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">i) Interested individuals and groups</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">ii) Appropriate tribal, local, State, and Federal Agencies</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">iii) Local newspapers</p> <p>III) Were notices published/posted in compliance with Executive Order 13166 (Language Access Plan)?</p> <p>f) Does the ERR contain a copy of “ADECA’s Request for Release of Funds and Certification” Form Equivalent of HUD Form 7015.15? [§58.38] (ENV-RROFC)</p> <p>g) Does the ERR contain the ADECA’s “Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions” equivalent of HUD Form 7015.16, signed (certifying officer) and dated by ADECA, indicating that 15 days were allowed for objections? [§58.38]</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>Note: Public Notice is required (NOI/RROF) and (Floodplain/Wetland Notices when applicable)</p>					<p>NOTE: When this Level of Review is Applicable, Parts B, C & D must be completed</p>

	Level of Review (ENV-CEST) [Converts to Exempt]	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
2B	<p>Categorically Excluded Activities §58.35(a) subject to §58.5 (e.g., rehabilitation of water and sewer lines, streets, drainage structures, senior centers, housing, etc.)</p> <p>a) Determination documented in writing (Completed ADECA Finding of Categorically Excluded Form Subject to 58.5, ENV-CEST)</p> <p>b) Completed ADECA Form ENV-CEST signed (certifying officer) and dated (Form ENV-CEST, page 3)</p> <p>c) Was the ADECA Form ENV-CEST signed and dated after all concurrences had been received?</p> <p>d) A completed 58.6 “Other Requirements” form (ENV-ORC)</p> <p>e) Does the ERR reviewed contain the ADECA’s “Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions” Form Equivalent of HUD Form 7015.16? [§58.22] (ENV-NRGC)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<p>NOTE: When this Level of Review is Applicable, Parts B, C & D must be completed</p>
<p>Note: No public notification required (RROF)</p>					

Level of Review (ENV-CENST)		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
3	Categorically Excluded Activities §58.35(b) Not subject to §58.5 (e.g., economic development (non-construction), supportive services, utilities, supplies, etc.)				
a)	Determination documented in writing (ADECA Finding of Categorically Excluded Not Subject to §58.5 Form (ENV-CENST))	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b)	Categorically Excluded Not Subject to §58.5 Form signed (certifying officer) and dated (ENV-CENST)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
c)	A completed 58.6 "Other Requirements" form (ENV-ORC)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
d)	Does the ERR reviewed contain the ADECA's "Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions" Form Equivalent of HUD Form 7015.16? [§58.22] (ENV-NRGC)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Note: No public notification required (RROF)					NOTE: When this Level of Review is Applicable, Parts B & D must be completed

Level of Review (ENV-HUDEA)		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
4	For Environmental Assessments (EA) §58.40: (e.g., new construction: new water and sewer lines, water tanks, pump stations, senior centers, etc.)				
a)	Completed ADECA Environmental Assessment Form (ENV-HUDEA)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b)	Was the Statutory Checklist satisfactorily completed? (Form ENV-HUDEA, pages 2 & 3)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
c)	Did the environmental assessment (ENV-HUDEA) include the following:				
I)	Existing environmental conditions and trends (ENV-HUDEA, page 1)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II)	Environmental impacts (ENV-HUDEA, page 3 & 4)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III)	Alternatives/safeguards (ENV-HUDEA, page 5)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV)	Level of clearance findings (ENV-HUDEA, page 6 [Determination])	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V)	Signed and dated by the Certifying Officer (ENV-HUDEA, page 6)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
					Continued on next page...

<p>d) Were there any environmental factors or circumstances which were not properly addressed or which raised serious questions about the appropriateness of the FONSI determination or the reasonableness of the mitigation measures proposed? (ENV-HUDEA, pages 3 & 4)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>f) A completed 58.6 "Other Requirements" form (ENV-ORC)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>g) Does the ERR indicate a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)? [§58.38]</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>l) Was the FONSI signed (certifying officer) and dated after all concurrences had been received? (ENV-HUDEA)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>ll) Was the FONSI signed (certifying officer) and dated prior to the publication or posting of the FONSI? (ENV-HUDEA)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>h) Does the ERR contain a copy of the published/posted combined notice consisting of the FONSI and NOI/RROF? [§58.38]</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>i) Were notices published/posted in compliance with Executive Order 13166 (Language Access Plan)?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>j) Does the ERR contain a copy of "ADECA's Request for Release of Funds and Certification" Form Equivalent of HUD Form 7015.15? [§58.38] (ENV-RROFC)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>k) Does the ERR contain the ADECA's "Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions" equivalent of HUD Form 7015.16, signed (certifying officer) and dated by ADECA, indicating that 15 days were allowed for objections? [§58.38]</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>l) Was the NOI/RROF disseminated to the following:</p>			
<p>i) Interested individuals and groups</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>ii) Appropriate tribal, local, State, and Federal Agencies</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>iii) Local newspapers</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Note: FONSI and NOI/RROF Required (Floodplain/Wetlands public notices may be required)</p>			<p>NOTE: When this Level of Review is Applicable, Parts B, C & D must be completed</p>

Level of Review		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
5	For Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Process §58.37: Was there a significant impact determined? If Yes, a specialized visit is required. Is there an EIS on file?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	

Part B: "Other Requirements" Checklist: Related Federal Laws and Authorities 24 CFR 58.6 (Applies to all project types)

1. Floodplain Insurance (24 CFR 58.6(a) & (b))	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
<p>Compliance and Documentation: The environmental review record should contain the following:</p> <p>a) Documentation supporting the determination that the project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>CDBG, ESG & HOPWA funded projects are exempt from Flood Insurance Requirements per 42 USC 4003(a)(3), 24 CFR 58.6(a)(3) & 55.1(b)(1)</p>

2. Coastal Barrier Resources (24 CFR 58.6(c))	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
<p>Compliance and Documentation: The environmental review record should contain <u>ONE</u> of the following:</p> <p>a) A general location map establishing there are no Coastal Barrier Resource System units in the city or county</p> <p>b) A map issued by the FWS or FEMA (or from their website) showing that the proposed project is not located within a designated Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit. The FEMA map panel number must be cited within the ERR.</p> <p>c) Approval of the project from the FWS, including all prior correspondence.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

3. Airport Clear Zone Notification (24 CFR 58.6(d))	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
<p>Compliance and Documentation: The environmental review record should contain the following:</p> <p>If project <u>DOES</u> involve the sale/purchase of existing property <u>AND</u> is located within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport:</p> <p>a) If the site is in a designated RPZ/CZ and the project involves the acquisition or sale of an existing property that will be frequently used or occupied by people, a copy of the notice to prospective buyers signed by the prospective buyer.</p> <p>If project <u>DOES NOT</u> involve the sale/purchase of existing property:</p> <p>b) Documentation showing that the scope of the project does not involve the sale/purchase of existing property.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Part C: Statutory Checklist: Related Federal Laws and Authorities 24 CFR 58.5 (only applies to Levels of Review 2 & 4)

1. Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
<p>Compliance and Documentation: It is important to remember that the environmental review record (ERR) must show that Section 106 review was completed before approval is given to proceed with HUD assisted projects.</p> <p>The environmental review record should contain documentation on <u>ONE</u> of these types of findings (select 1, 2, or 3):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 1. No Historic Properties Affected (select <u>ONE</u> type of documentation below)</p> <p>a) Documentation of the Programmatic Agreement (PA) between SHPO and ADECA confirming the activities are covered by the PA. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p>b) Letter from SHPO (or THPO on tribal lands) that concurs with HUD's or the Responsible Entity's determination of "no historic properties affected." <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p>c) With documentation on 1) the undertaking and the Area of Potential Effect (APE) (including photographs, maps, and drawings, as necessary), 2) steps taken to identify historic properties, 3) the basis for determining that no historic properties are present or affected, 4) evidence of tribal consultation if required; and 5) copies or summaries of any views provided by consulting parties and the public. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p>d) If the SHPO has not responded to a properly documented request for concurrence within 30 days of receipt of the request, document the request and lack of response as part of the record. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 2. No Adverse Effect (select <u>ONE</u> type of documentation below)</p> <p>a) Letter from SHPO (or THPO on tribal lands) that concurs with HUD'S or the Responsible Entity's finding of "no adverse effect." <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p>b) With documentation on 1) the undertaking and the APE (including photographs, maps, and drawings, as necessary), 2) steps taken to identify historic properties, 3) affected historic properties (including characteristics qualifying them for the NR), 4) the undertaking's effects on historic properties, 5) why the criteria of adverse effect were not applicable (§800.5), 6) evidence of tribal</p>				<p>Continue to c) next page....</p>

<p>consultation if required, and 7) copies or summaries of any views provided by consulting parties and the public.</p>				
<p>c) If the SHPO has not responded to a properly documented request for concurrence within 30 days of receipt of the request, document the request and lack of response as part of the record.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 3. Adverse Effect (select <u>ONE</u> type of documentation below)</p>				
<p>a) Notification of adverse effect sent to Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>b) Letter from SHPO (or THPO on tribal lands) that concurs with a finding of "adverse effect."</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>c) With documentation on 1) the undertaking and the APE (including photographs, maps, and drawings, as necessary), 2) steps taken to identify historic properties, 3) affected historic properties (including characteristics qualifying them for the NR), 4) the undertaking's effects on historic properties, 5) why the criteria of adverse effect are applicable (§ 800.5), 6) evidence of tribal consultation if required, and 7) copies or summaries of any views provided by consulting parties and the public.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>d) A Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) or a Programmatic Agreement (PA) signed by the HUD official or Responsible Entity, SHPO/THPO, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation if participating, and other signatory and concurring parties.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>e) If resolution is not reached in an MOA or PA, provide correspondence and comments between the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and HUD Secretary (for Part 50 projects) or Responsible Entity's chief elected local official (for Part 58 projects).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

b)	A map showing the site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or within 2,500 feet of a civilian airport.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c)	If within 15,000 feet of a military airport, a map showing the site is not within a designated APZ (Accident Potential Zone) or a letter from the airport operator stating so.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d)	If within 2,500 feet of a civilian airport, a map showing the site is not within a designated RPZ/CZ (Runway Protection Zone/Clear Zone) or a letter from the airport operator stating so.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e)	If the site is in a designated APZ, documentation of consistency with DOD (Department of Defense) Land Use Compatibility Guidelines.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f)	If the site is in a designated RPZ/CZ and the project does not involve any facilities that will be frequently used or occupied by people, and a determination of such and a written assurance from the airport operator that there are no plans to purchase the land as part of a RPZ/CZ program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g)	If the site is in a designated RPZ/CZ and the project involves the acquisition or sale of an existing property that will be frequently used or occupied by people, a copy of the notice to prospective buyers signed by the prospective buyer.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

14. Site Contamination [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]		Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
Documentation and Compliance: For non-FHA-insured (Fair Housing Authority) programs, the environmental review record should contain <u>ONE</u> of the following:					
a)	Evidence the site is not contaminated (for multifamily housing projects this includes on site and off site contamination and previous uses of the site); a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment is strongly encouraged for multifamily and non-residential projects.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b)	Evidence supporting a determination the hazard will not affect health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended use of the site, including any mitigation measures used.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
					Continue to c) on next page...

<p>c) Documentation the site has been cleaned up according to EPA or state standards for residential properties, which requires a letter of “No Further Action” (NFA) required from the appropriate state department/ agency, or a RAO (Response Action Outcome) letter from the LSRP (Licensed Site Remediation Professional).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

15. Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
<p>Compliance and Documentation: Review land use plans, census information and the U.S. EPA Environmental Justice webpage (EJ View). Consider local government sources such as the health department or school district that may be more current or focused on the neighborhood as their unit of analysis.</p> <p>The environmental review record should contain <i>ONE</i> of the following:</p> <p>a) Evidence that the site or surrounding neighborhood does not suffer from adverse environmental conditions and evidence that the proposed action will not create an adverse and disproportionate environmental impact or aggravate an existing impact. (Describe how the proposed action will not have a disproportionate adverse impact on minority or low-income populations.)</p> <p>b) Evidence that the project is not in an environmental justice community of concern (demographics, income, etc.) or evidence that the project does not disproportionately affect a low-income or minority population.</p> <p>c) If there are adverse effects on low-income or minority populations, documentation that that the affected community residents have been meaningfully informed and involved in a participatory planning process to address (remove, minimize, or mitigate) the adverse effect from the project and the resulting changes.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>ADECA’s Community & Economic Development Programs only fund low- to moderate- income level Communities improving/eliminating any Environmental Injustice that may exist in the Community.</p>

Part D: Environmental Review Record (ERR) Section 58.38

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Documentation
1	Has the Grantee established an ERR? [§58.38]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2	Briefly describe the Grantee's system for carrying out its environmental review responsibilities (e.g., staff, consultant).				
3	Is there evidence that the staff person(s) designated by the Grantee lacks knowledge or training on environmental review requirements for ADECA programs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4	Is there a separate ERR for each project? - If "No", indicate which items were deficient. [§58.38]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ADECA funds only one project at a time.
5	Do the ERRs reviewed contain project descriptions, including geographic boundaries (where applicable) and reference all activities included as part of the overall project? [§58.38]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
6	For the time period reviewed, has ADECA received any objections raising noncompliance issues about the Grantee? If "Yes", were the objections addressed by the Grantee after ADECA forwarded them? [§58.75]	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
7	24 CFR Part 55 – Floodplain Management Where projects were located in floodplains or, if new construction, in wetlands, does the ERR contain evidence of compliance with: a) 24 CFR Part 55 or equivalent public notice and decision-making procedures under Executive Orders 11988 and 11990 (ERR contains the 8/5-step process)? [§55.20] b) That all practical alternatives to locating the project in a floodplain or wetland were considered? c) Where projects were located in floodplains or wetlands, does the ERR contain copies of the following published notices (notices must be published and not posted): I) An Early Notice and Public Review of a Proposed Activity in a 100-year Floodplain II) A Final Notice and Public Review of a Proposed Activity in a 100-year Floodplain	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
8	For the records reviewed, do the Grantee records show that no grant funds were obligated or spent [other than for activities under 24 CFR 58.22(f), 58.34, or 58.35(b)] prior to receipt of the ADECA's "Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions". [§58.22]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
9	For the records reviewed, do the Grantee records show that no physical development activities (i.e. construction) began prior to receipt of the ADECA's "Notice of Removal of Grant Conditions". [§58.22]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
10	If there were projects over a one or more year period, were environmental reviews conducted on the full scope of multi-year activities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ADECA does not fund multi-year projects even though a project's length can span multiple years.
11	If projects or activities were a continuation of a previously approved project, was a written decision supporting the continued applicability of the previous environmental review and clearance included in the ERR?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
12	If mitigation measures were required were they included in the ERR as part of the actions pertaining to the environmental review?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	